

SPONTANEOUS PNEUMOTHORAX

Supporting information

This guideline has been prepared with reference to the following:

Tschopp JM, Bintcliffe O, Astoul P et al. ERS task force statement: diagnosis and treatment of primary spontaneous pneumothorax. *Eur Respir J.* 2015;46:321-35

<http://erj.ersjournals.com/content/46/2/321.long>

MacDuff A, Arnold A, Harvey J. Management of spontaneous pneumothorax: British Thoracic Society pleural disease guideline 2010. *Thorax* 2010;65(Suppl 2):ii18-ii31

http://thorax.bmj.com/content/65/Suppl_2/ii18

Simple aspiration is successful in a high percentage of pneumothoraces?

A 2017 systematic review of RCTs (n=7, patients=435) compared simple aspiration with intercostal tube drainage and found low to moderate-quality evidence that intercostal tube drainage produced higher rates of immediate success, while simple aspiration resulted in a shorter duration of hospitalization (Carson-Chahhoud, 2017). The meta-analysis showed a significant difference in immediate success rates of procedures favouring tube drainage over simple aspiration for management of primary spontaneous pneumothorax (risk ratio (RR) 0.78, 95% confidence interval (CI) 0.69 to 0.89. Duration of hospitalization however was significantly less for patients treated by simple aspiration (mean difference (MD) -1.66, 95% CI -2.28 to -1.04).

A randomised study compared manual aspiration (n=27) and chest tube drainage (n=33) (Noppen, 2002). Immediate success was achieved in 16 (59.3%) of the manual aspiration group and in 21 (63.6%) of the tube drainage group. One week success rates increased to 25 (93%) in the aspiration group and 28 (85%) in the tube drainage group. Only 14 (52%) of the aspiration group were hospitalised, compared to 33 (100%) of the tube drainage group. Although the numbers of patients in the study were too few to confirm the true equivalence of the two procedures, the authors concluded that this appeared to be the case, with the bonus that manual aspiration was feasible as an outpatient procedure in the majority of patients.

Carson-Chahhoud KV, Wakai A, van Agteren JE et al. Simple aspiration versus intercostal tube drainage for primary spontaneous pneumothorax in adults. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* 2017 Sep 7;9:CD004479
<https://www.cochranelibrary.com/cdsr/doi/10.1002/14651858.CD004479.pub3/full>

Noppen M, Alexander P, Driesen P, et al. Manual aspiration versus chest tube drainage in first episodes of primary spontaneous pneumothorax: a multicenter, prospective, randomized pilot study. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2002;165:1240-4

Evidence Level: I

There is a low recurrence rate of pneumothorax following successful aspiration?

A 2018 systematic review of 29 studies (13,548 patients) found the pooled 1-year and overall recurrence rates were 29.0% (95% CI 20.9 to 37.0%) and 32.1% (95% CI 27.0 to 37.2%), respectively (Walker, 2018). Female sex was associated with increased recurrence (OR 3.03, 95% CI 1.24 to 7.41), while smoking cessation was associated with a four-fold decrease in risk (OR 0.26, 95% CI 0.10 to 0.63).

Walker SP, Bibby AC, Halford P et al. Recurrence rates in primary spontaneous pneumothorax: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Eur Respir J.* 2018;52:1800864

Evidence Level: II

The risk of worsening (further collapse) of a pneumothorax is higher in an aircraft (i.e. lower barometric pressure)?

A 2014 review found that reliable evidence was thin on the ground. The authors concluded that "pneumothorax and other forms of intrathoracic barotrauma related to air travel are rare. Patients with cystic lung diseases, recent pneumothorax or thoracic surgery, and chronic pneumothorax need particular attention. The decision regarding air travel needs to be individualized by assessing risk based on specific disease-related issues and comorbidities while also taking into account patients'

Not found an answer to your question? Wish to suggest an edit to this document?

Please contact the BCGP Clinical Effectiveness Librarian at bedsideclinicalguidelines@uhn.nhs.uk

preferences and needs. Additional data are needed to better inform decisions regarding air travel for patients at risk for pneumothorax” (Hu et al, 2014).

Hu X, Cowl CT, Baqir M et al. Air travel and pneumothorax. Chest. 2014;145:688-94
<http://journal.publications.chestnet.org/article.aspx?articleid=1852898>

Evidence Level: IV

The underwater seal on a chest drain must be kept below the chest?

The seal must be below the level of the patient’s chest in order to prevent air or water entering the patient’s chest (Harriss, 1991). No outside air can enter the pleural cavity with inspiration because a negative pressure equal to the height of a column of water from the patient’s bed to the floor would be required (Glotzer, 1971).

Glotzer DJ. Pseudopneumothorax with “underwater-seal” pleural drainage. N Engl J Med 1971;284:1388-9

Harriss DR, Graham TR. Management of intercostal drains. Br J Hosp Med 1991;45:383-6

Evidence Level: V

Clamping chest drain tubing when moving a patient is unnecessary?

Clamping is not recommended in UK guidelines (Miller, 1993) because it gives no advantage if the leak has stopped, whilst masking a possible deflated lung and subsequent tension pneumothorax if the leak is on-going. Without clamping, a continuing leak produces noticeable bubbling in the underwater drain (Miller, 1998). US practice differs, with guidelines authors believing that small air leaks may not cause obvious bubbling (Baumann, 1998), and that clamping for up to 4 hours is safe and effective (Baumann, 1997).

Baumann MH, Strange C. Treatment of spontaneous pneumothorax: the clinician’s perspective on pneumothorax management. Chest 1998;113:1424-5
<http://journal.publications.chestnet.org/data/Journals/CHEST/21750/822.pdf>

Baumann MH, Strange C. Treatment of spontaneous pneumothorax: a more aggressive approach? Chest 1997;112:789-804
<http://journal.publications.chestnet.org/data/Journals/CHEST/21750/789.pdf>

Miller AC. Treatment of spontaneous pneumothorax: the clinician’s perspective on pneumothorax management. Chest 1998;113:1423-4
<http://journal.publications.chestnet.org/data/Journals/CHEST/21750/822.pdf>

Miller AC, Harvey JE. Guidelines for the management of spontaneous pneumothorax. BMJ 1993;307:114-6
<http://www.bmj.com/content/307/6896/114.full.pdf+html>

Evidence Level: V

The risk of further episodes of pneumothorax increases with each recurrence?

A case control study in United States Air Force aircrew (Voge, 1986) found that 28% of men with a first spontaneous pneumothorax had a recurrence. Of that 28%, 23% had a second recurrence, but only 14% of that 23% had a third recurrence. The total recurrence rate was 35%. An earlier study (Ruckley, 1966) found that risk of recurrence increased from 57% after a first, 62% after a second, to 83% after a third.

Ruckley CV, McCormack RJ. The management of spontaneous pneumothorax. Thorax 1966;21:139-44
<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC1673302/pdf/brmedi01450-0012a.pdf>

Voge VM, Anthracite R. Spontaneous pneumothorax in the USAF aircrew population: a retrospective study. Aviat Space Environ Med 1986;57:939-49

Evidence Level: IV

**Last amended August 2018
Last reviewed November 2023**